

02-277

From: gordonkb@att.net
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner
Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 8:21 PM
Subject: Broadcast Ownership Rules

EX PARTE
CITY LATE FILED

Gentlemen & Madam:

Please do not relax the broadcast ownership rules that current protect us from big media monopolies.

The proposed changes will enable giant media conglomerates to obtain near-total control of R&T news and information in cities and towns across the country. Companies like Disney and Viacom who are lobbying you to relax these rules already have a history of keeping opposing views off the air.

We deserve to hear more than one viewpoint on important issues. For the sake of our 1st Amendment, I urge you to maintain the broadcast ownership protections that have helped keep healthy political debate alive in our country for all these years.

Sincerely,

Gordon Brown
Far Hills, NJ 07931

From: robtjdonna.murphy@verizon.net
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 8:28 PM
Subject: BROADCAST OWNERSHIP RULES

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Dear Chairman and Commissioners of the FCC,

PLEASE DO NOT RELAX THE BROADCAST OWNERSHIP RULES!!!

It is my sincere opinion that the big media is already distorting the news and using its own ends as the deciding factor on what and what not to cover. Allowing them to buy out, own, and control whatever independant local tv, radio, and newspapers they can get their hands on would be completely disastrous to the information gathering process of the American public.

Please, allow the current laws and rules to stand. They are no more out of date and irrelevant than the Constitution is. PLEASE, KEEP THE BEST INTEREST OF THE AMERICAN PUBLIC FOREMOST IN YOUR MINDS WHEN MAKING THIS DECISION!

Be courageous. Do the right thing. Don't give in to the big corporations over the good of the people.

Robert J. Murphy
19 Elmwood Road
Littleton, Ma. 01460

From: allig12@juno.com
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 8:29 PM
Subject: Please do not increase market presents

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Please do not increase market presents.

Please do not increase the amount/percentage of a media market that can be owned by one company or corporation. It is already hard enough for small companies to compete in radio and tv and not be bought out by large corporations that have no interest in the local community.

Thanks for taking the time to read my opinion.

David Berry

8388 Loch Levon Ave.
Kings Beach California

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: Menof2Amendment@aol.com
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 8:36 PM
Subject: FCC OWNERSHIP RULES

I urge you not to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies. These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air. The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country. Sincerely, Robert C. Miller

CC: kabernat%fcc.gov.mcopps%fcc.gov.kjmweb%fcc.gov.jadelste@fcc.gov

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: mjnhere@chorus.net
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein, Michael Copps
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 8:42 PM
Subject: consolidation of the Media: No, no, no more! Please!

5/3/03

Hi,

I am writing to express my concern over the consolidation of the Media.

I am educated. I have degrees in industrial engineering, bacteriology and chemistry from UW Madison Wisconsin.

I am knowledgeable enough to know the pros and cons of the upcoming rule changes.

The changes make business sense, but will be disastrous for my (our) children's freedom and access to unbiased information. The system (media) is already badly biased.

I have a good systems view of what has already been lost and what we will further lose.

The cost to freedom is more than the benefit to the business bottom line.

Please do not sell out the American citizen and their freedom.

Michael LaMere
310 Lucille St.
Verona, WI
(608) 845-9380

From: Anne Morse
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 8:42 PM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

EX PASTE OR LATE FILED

Anne Morse (morsemedia@att.net) writes:

Thank you for attending the meeting in SF for public comment. Please do whatever you can to reject further media consolidation

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 67.123.78.34
Remote IP address: 67.123.78.34

From: eblan
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 8:46 PM
Subject: Broadcast Ownership Rules

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Dear Commisioner Adelstein,

If proposed "broadcast ownership rules" are adopted, independent voices in cities across the United States could be snuffed out by huge media corporations.

Whole communities and even whole states and regions could decide which viewpoints to allow on the air and which to censor.

The big media conglomerates have in the past used their power to keep opposing viewpoints off the air. These proposed rule changes would give them far greater power to keep opposing views off the air and out of the newspapers.

Many of the corporations that are fighting for these rule changes-including media giants Viacom/CBS and Disney/ABC-are precisely the same companies that have tried in the past to keep your viewpoints off the air.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION

From: Maida Genser
To: Mike Powell, senator@levin.senate.gov, Senator Debbie Stabenow
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 8:48 AM
Subject: June 2 vote coming up - against allowing bigger media monopolies

To FCC Commissioners and MI Senators:

I have been informed that on June 2, the Federal Communications Commission will vote on whether to eliminate or liberalize the whole roster of rules governing how many local TV stations or how many radio and TV stations in the same market one entity can own. My source also said that the FCC is also contemplating gutting rules that prohibit co-ownership of TV stations and newspapers in the same city or the ownership of more than one TV network.

I am firmly against allowing more media monopolies. It is more important for us to have independent sources of news, unbiased by higher corporate goals, than it is to make more money for the media.

~~~~~  
See my personal web page at <http://mywebpages.comcast.net/maidawg>

See the web page I created for my husband at  
<http://mywebpages.comcast.net/moshiach>

~~~~~  
a New pledge of Allegiance:

"I pledge allegiance to the health
of the United World of the Universe.
And to the Earth on which we stand -
One planet born of love - Indivisible
With Rights and Responsibilities for all."
--proposed by Ronnie Gilbert

CC: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

From: EmilyBoone@aol.com
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 8:51 AM
Subject: Re: media monopolies does not encourage competition and sabotages the free market.

Dear Chair Michael K. Powell and Mr. Copps:

I am against further consolidation of media ownership. What you can do to help stop this? I look forward to your response. Thank you for reading this email

Best Regards,
Emily Boone
102 Pope Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40206-3119

From: Kara Hammond
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 9:18 AM
Subject: hearings on media ownership?

5/3/03

To Mr. Michael K. Powell, Chairman of the FCC

Dear Mr. Powell:

As a media user and citizen, I feel it necessary to comment on the upcoming changes in media ownership of the airwaves, slated to be voted on in early June.

The deregulation of radio in 1996 left America with thousands less individual voices on the airwaves in favor of the homogenized faceless pablum of the ubiquitous Clearchannel, which is not only mind numbing, only concerned with the bottom line, but publicly dangerous, as witnessed in the January 2002 train wreck in Minot North Dakota, in which a train derailure left a cloud of anhydrous ammonia wafting through neighborhoods in town, causing the death of one person and the evacuation of over 40 homes. When the local radio station was called to inform the citizens of impending disaster, there was no one to answer the phone because Clearchannel didnt think anyone was needed to be present to play the prerecorded tapes they use.

Is this the kind of public service thats in store for local television as well? What about that great exercise in democracy, the internet?

Media conglomeration is antithetical to individual participatory democracy. Rupert Murdoch could care less what the residents of individual localities in this country think, as long as he has sole access to our eyes and ears, so we keep tuning in and buying what hes selling, be it yet another car, tummy trimmer or political candidate. It is suffocating to contemplate the thought.

If this is just a taste of what is to come through more media conglomeration, what is to happen to the myriad of individual voices and needs in America? The airwaves, including the internet, are a public forum and a public trust. To sell them to the highest bidder is to sell the family jewels to philistines who care only to melt them down for scrap.

As witnessed with the cable, telephone and electricity industries, when I hear deregulation is coming, I reach for my wallet. But when the stakes are so high, democracy itself, the cost will be uncountable.

I urge the Federal Communications Commission to give this issue more time, to have hearings and to get the public involved. Every American has a stake in this issue. Dont leave us out of the process.

Sincerely,

Kara Hammond
302 Bedford Ave. #369
Brooklyn, NY 11211

Tired of spam? Get advanced junk mail protection with MSN 8.
<http://join.msn.com/?page=features/junkmail>

CC: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

From: Daniel F Neal
To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 9:26 AM
Subject: Broadcast Ownership Rules

Dear Commissioner:

I urge you not to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies. These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country.

Sincerely
Daniel F. Neal

Hillsdale, N.J.

07642-2857

From: Jay Knapp
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 9:32 AM
Subject: Monopolies

Dear Mr. Copps,

There can be no advantage for the American public to allow media monopolies. The corporations presently lobbying the FCC to relax the ownership rules covering mass media ownership cannot be allowed to win. Relaxing these rules would allow the creation of a giant media monopoly that could shape the news and public opinion by allowing only one viewpoint to be presented to the American public. Please do not allow this to happen. Our land was built on the principal that the public be allowed to weigh all the facts behind an issue.

Thank You,

Jarvis H Knapp
55 Fairview Dr
East Hanover, NJ 07936
973-386-1988
jknapp23@optonline.net

we are speaking about today? Not nearly enough! Could that be because there is only one voice in those communities broadcasting to the masses?

Thank you!

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 66.125.176.34
Remote IP address: 66.125.176.34

From: Joseph
To: Undisclosed-Recipient::@fcc.gov
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 8:52 PM
Subject: No additional loose 'rights' to media giants

You are urged to NOT allow any media, especially the giants, to obtain further rights to own more than already wrongly given them, outlets in any particular area.

The rights of Americans to receive more than a 'certain' philosophy is being curtailed by the already loose handling of this problem.

If possible rolling back already granted power to certain media giants would be preferable but failing this, then please do not grant them even more control over American minds.

Thank you in advance for the protection of America's minds,

Joseph Castronovo
Boynton Beach, FL 33437

From: tomdone
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 9:01 PM
Subject: Ownership rules for radio and television stations

Mr. Michael K. Powell
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Powell,

It is my understanding that some communications firms are presently lobbying the FCC to relax ownership rules for radio and television stations. I am opposed to any such relaxation of rules that would allow giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of all broadcast news across this nation. For the sake of our continuing the availability of information, I urge you to maintain the present ownership rules to ensure a healthy political debate in this country. Thanks for your consideration of my position.

Sincerely,

Tommy B. Edmondson
1230 N. Peniel
Oklahoma City, OK 73127

From: Lltmat@aol.com
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 9:32 PM
Subject: Protect the American Citizens

Please do NOT relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies. It seem far to prevalent now with so much media in the hands of so few companies.

The proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air. The American deserve better.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. All the people I work and socialist with have about quit reading the newspaper and watching TV because it seems they are only telling one side of the story. We need more companies involved not fewer. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country. We need competition in the news business, and it should be fair and balanced.

God Bless America.

Sincerely,

Les Thompson
Franklin, TN 37067-1324

From: Robert & Louise Jeanne
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 9:33 PM
Subject: Against deregulation of the media

Dear Commissioner Adelstein,

Please act in the public interest. Allowing one corporation to own more than 35% of the media in a single market is not in the public interest. We demand that there be advertized, public hearings across the country on this issue before the existing regulations are changed.

Robert and Louise Jeanne

609 Hilltop Drive

Madison, WI 53711

From: Jeff Ramsey
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 9:40 PM
Subject: <No Subject>

Could you at least hold a significant public discussion before moving forward with any dramatic changes in FCC media deregulations?

Thank You
Jeff

please do not give, sell, trade, etc. this e-mail address.

Jeffery T. Ramsey
Wildlife Biologist
PO Box 982, 660 Wapiti Dr.
Fraser CO 80442-0982
970-726-5866
jramsey@rkymtnhi.com

From: Gary McKinnon
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 10:25 PM
Subject: Ownership restrictions

I am writing to ask you to not to approve elimination of restrictions on media ownership. Too few interests control our media and seem to be have undue influence on the FCC.

The members of the FCC have a responsibility to represent all the citizens of our country, not just wealth special interests. I hope you will demonstrate that you understand the difference between our right to information and the motives of those who profit by constraining the sources of that information.

Regards,
Gerald McKinnon

From: Robert Thurston
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 10:26 PM
Subject: Upcoming media consolidation vote

Mr Powell,

Will the public have knowledge of the proposals you plan to approve or disapprove on June 2? Since we will be the beneficiaries or victims of your decision, it would seem only fair that we should know what you are planning to do and have a chance to influence your decision.

I would strongly urge you to delay a final vote until the public has an appropriate opportunity to assess the options available.

Sincerely
Robert Thurston
New Albany, IN

CC: Kathleen Abernathy, mcopps@fcc.com, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

From: Bill Bramlett
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 10:50 PM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Bill Bramlett (billb@zianet.com) writes:

Allowing companies to crowd locally owned stations out of the market place in both radio and television is a questionable response to keeping the media marketplace a viable source of information for the public. We already have a lack of real investigative reporting, and allowing large companies to dominate the market will only insure the public will be exposed to even more "party line" news. This may act to drive those who wish to hear diverse views and researched opinions to the internet, leaving the media to the rather trashy content that it seems to be heading for. When we are faced with decisions possibly involving our security and our American rights, we need good information, fairly presented, to help us make those decisions. What I fear we will get with the increasing dominance of large companies whose major aim is to increase profit and curry favor with politicians is news that is unbalanced and self serving.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.0
Remote host: 216.234.195.207
Remote IP address: 216.234.195.207

From: Anthony Aitken
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 10:51 PM
Subject: Regulation

It is my duty as a U.S. citizen to notify you that I do not agree with the increase concentration of media and the possible impacts of the biennial regulatory review. I feel that this issue is not fully explored in the public sector, and that Americans in general are not being informed about the major effects this will have on their lives. The public interest is not being served! This is a direct affront to democracy in America! This is wrong! We must regulate and diversify the control of media and serve the public interest. There should be public debate on this issue!

Thank You,
Anthony Aitken

Do you Yahoo!?
The New Yahoo! Search - Faster. Easier. Bingo.
<http://search.yahoo.com>

From: rose aitken
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 10:59 PM
Subject: June 2

It is my duty as a U.S. citizen to notify you that I do not agree with the increase concentration of media and the possible impacts of the biennial regulatory review. I feel that this issue is not fully explored in the public sector, and that Americans in general are not being informed about the major effects this will have on their lives. The public interest is not being served! This is a direct affront to democracy in America! This is wrong! We must regulate and diversify the control of media and serve the public interest. There should be public debate on this issue!

Thank You,

Rose Aitken

Do you Yahoo!?
The New Yahoo! Search - Faster. Easier. Bingo.

CC: Kathleen Abernathy

From: Robert Ross
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 11:11 PM
Subject: June Vote of FCC re telecommunications

5/2/03

Commissioner Powell,

This is the first time which I have contacted a governmental agency. I do this because as a parent of a nine year old and a concerned citizen. As an African-American physician, psychiatrist, psychoanalyst I treasure freedom of speech and association. My forefathers fought and died trying to win these rights for themselves and for posterity of all Americans. The vote that the commission is about to make will have far reaching effects on the ability of ordinary Americans to continue to benefit from the open market of ideas.

The airwaves belong to the American people however I doubt if more than 20 percent of us are aware of this and aware that we and our freedoms are about to be sold to the highest corporate bidder. Presently what my children and the children that I treat and the children of America are exposed to the corporatization of "freedom of speech" in our time. I am not naive and understand that we live in a capitalist culture which gives lip service to "traditional family values" while big business and government rob us of what it means to be human.

To that end I feel that your commission is about to sell my children's and their children's rights to corporate interests and voice my opinion that we safeguard the standards regarding the airwaves put in place in 1934. These standards are no more anachronistic than is the constitution which was written in 1789.

Thank you,

Robert Ross M.D., Ph.D.

From: Steven Leibman
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 11:14 PM
Subject: Preserve Diversity and Media Ownership Limits - DO NOT Remove Remaining Regulatory Limits on Corporations

Steven Leibman
215 Sherwood Drive
Montpelier, VT 05602

May 3, 2003

Chairman, Federal Communications Commission Michael Powell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman, Federal Communications Commission Powell:

The FCC must NOT further weaken the rules that help preserve competition and diversity among the owners of American media.

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. In its goals to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market, I strongly believe that the FCC should retain all of the current media ownership rules now in question. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry.

The FCC is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal of or further modification to these rules will likely open the door to more mergers that will continue to reduce competition and diversity in the media. If the rules are weakened further, one company in a city could control the most popular newspaper, TV station and possibly the cable system, giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of news and information. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in this country. Media ownership would be concentrated by corporate monopolies even further, and the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with diverse viewpoints would be compromised.

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the FCC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity. While there may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited.

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is part of the founding philosophy of our nation. Our forefathers believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas. If the FCC allows our media outlets to merge, our ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

The public interest will best be served by preserving media ownership rules in question in this proceeding.

I think it is important for the FCC to not only consider the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue, but also those with a social or civic interest.

With the serious impact these rule changes will have on our democracy, it is incumbent on the Commission to take the time to review these issues more thoroughly and allow the American people to have a meaningful say in the process.

Sincerely,

Steven Leibman

From: Wes Kaufmann
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 11:17 PM
Subject: Media Ownership Rules Change

Dear Chairman Powell,

I am very disturbed by your plan to lift current market share ownership restrictions on broadcast companies in a given market merely because it is more economical for the companies. Most people switching from one channel to another to get different news broadcasts and perspectives don't know that all the stations are owned by the same company (ClearChannel, Viacom, etc.) and the listener is not getting differing points of view. You should be encouraging more broadcast companies per market, not the reverse.

I understand many senators have urged you to slow down and increase public awareness of your plan. But you have not.

Democracy cannot exist without a free marketplace of ideas and many diverse voices. You should be encouraging more broadcast companies per market, not the reverse. Of course you know that. I can only conclude you have self-serving motives. I'm sure you'll be awarded a very nice job after your term at the FCC.

Please vote "no" on the rule change.

Sincerely
Doreen Kaufmann
Doreen@OSDS.com

From: GERALD R McGRATH
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 11:17 PM
Subject: Proposed Broadcast Ownership Rule Changes

Honorable Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communication Commission:

It would NOT be in the best interests of the American people to allow monopolistic interests to control all the outlets (in any area) that they could afford to. Censorship BY the media is actually a much greater danger to free democratic debate of issues than censorship of the media by the government because it is largely unrecognizable. AOL Time Warner's CNN's reporting on the Iraqi war is an example of this. AOL-TW, Viacom/CBS, Disney/ABC all appear to have severe editorial restrictions on viewpoints other than those of its executives. Please do your job and protect us (and a free society) from these giant conglomerates who would control all thought. FCC ownership rules currently provide some protection, do not abandon them. J. Mc. jmcgrath7@juno.com

From: Paul Closson
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 11:18 PM
Subject: Comments to the Commissioner

Paul Closson (paullstann@aol.com) writes:

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:

I am distressed with your plans to allow more and more media outlets to be concentrated in fewer and fewer companies. This will inevitably result in the decline of our beloved democracy.

Please do not do this to the United States of America.

Paul Closson
Oviedo, Florida

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 152.163.189.70
Remote IP address: 152.163.189.70

From: Arthur735@cs.com
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 11:23 PM
Subject: broadcast ownership rules

Dear Mr. Adelstein:

I urge you not to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country.

Sincerely
A. Romano
Tewksbury, MA. 01876

From: Steve Leis
To: Mike Powell
Date: Sat, May 3, 2003 11:50 PM
Subject: Vote No

Dear Mr. Powell

I urge you not to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect Americans citizens from media monopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across our nation. And many of the corporations that are lobbying the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one piont of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue the broadcast owership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in our country.

Sincerely,
Mr. Stephen J. Leis
Bangor, Wisconsin 54614-9132

The new MSN 8: advanced junk mail protection and 2 months FREE*
<http://join.msn.com/?page=features/junkmail>